

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1 Arizona Corporation Commission 2 COMMISSIONERS DOCKETED 3 GARY PIERCE - Chairman DEC -9 2011 **BOB STUMP** SANDRA D. KENNEDY PAUL NEWMAN DOCKETED BY 5 **BRENDA BURNS** ne 6 DOCKET NO. E-01933A-11-0350 RAYE STILES 7 Complainant, 8 DECISION NO. 72713 VS. 9 TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY 10 <u>ORD</u>ER Respondent (Dismissal with Prejudice) 11 Open Meeting 12 December 1, 2011 Phoenix, Arizona 13 14 BY THE COMMISSION: 15 16 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 17 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 18 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 19 On September 15, 2011, a formal Complaint brought by Raye Stiles against Tucson 1. 20 Electric Power Co. ("TEP") was docketed with the Commission. 21 2. On September 16, 2011, the Commission's Docket Control sent the Complaint to TEP 22 via certified mail. 23 3. On September 22, 2011, the Commission's Docket Control received from the 24 Complainant the original and 13 copies of a document entitled "Motion for Specific Performance." 25 By Procedural Order dated September 23, 2011, the Complainant was informed: 1) 4. 26 that the Motion for Specific Performance could not be docketed as filed; 2) how to correct the 27 deficiencies; and 3) that upon correction of the deficiencies, a motion could be filed. 28

- 5. On October 14, 2011, TEP filed a Motion for Extension of Time to file its Answer. TEP stated that since the Complaint was filed, TEP and Ms. Stiles had engaged in settlement discussions, and that additional time was needed to complete those talks. TEP requested an extension of time to file its Answer. TEP proposed that if a settlement is reached, TEP would file a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint as soon as practicable after execution of a settlement agreement, and if a settlement was not reached, TEP would file its Answer to the Complaint within 20 days of determination that a settlement would not be possible.
 - 6. Complainant did not object to the extension.
- 7. By Procedural Order dated October 17, 2011, TEP was granted an extension of time to file its Answer until 20 days after the parties determined that settlement was not possible.
- 8. On October 31, 2011, TEP filed a Motion to Dismiss, attaching a Settlement Agreement executed by Ms. Stiles, Mr. Tyrone Henry¹ and TEP. A copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by reference.
- 9. Under the settlement agreement, TEP agrees to forgo Ms. Stiles' disputed debt in the amount of \$332.41 for the address 734 Roger Road, #201, Tucson, Arizona. Beginning with the October 20, 2011, billing statement, Ms. Stiles and Mr. Henry agree to make four monthly installment payments of \$51.21 (totaling \$204.85) for the August 2011 billing and reconnection fee for address 2018 N. Tucker Dr., Tucson, Arizona, and to make timely payment in full on future monthly billings. Ms. Stiles acknowledges that if she fails to make the installment payments, or fails to make timely payments in full on all future monthly billings, she will be subject to disconnection. Ms. Stiles agrees to dismissal of the Complaint with prejudice.
- 10. The parties having consensually resolved their dispute in a fair and reasonable manner, the Complaint should be dismissed with prejudice.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. TEP is a public service corporation under Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and under Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 40, generally.

¹ Mr. Henry filed a separate, but related, complaint against TEP in Docket No. E-01933A-11-0346.

The Commission has jurisdiction over TEP and the subject matter of the Complaint. 2. 3. Notice of the proceeding was provided in accordance with applicable law. 4. The consensually negotiated Settlement Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A, resolves the issues raised in the Complaint in a fair and reasonable manner, and it is in the public interest to approve the settlement agreement and dismiss this Complaint with prejudice. **ORDER** IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Settlement Agreement entered into by Raye Stiles, Tyrone Henry and Tucson Electric Power Company, attached hereto as Exhibit A, is approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Complaint filed by Raye Stiles against Tucson Electric Power Company is dismissed with prejudice as provided in the Settlement Agreement. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. COMMISSIONER **COMMISSIONER** COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this ______ day of ______ 2011. ERNEST G. JOHNSON **EXCUTIVE DIRECTOR** DISSENT DISSENT

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
2	DOCKET NO.:	E-01933A-11-0350
3	Raye Stiles	
4	2018 North Tucker Drive Tucson, AZ 85716	
5	Michael W. Patten	
6	ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC One Arizona Center	
7	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 85004	
8	Attorneys for TEP	
9	Bradley S. Carroll, Esq. TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	<i>Y</i>
10	ne S. Church Ave, Suite 200 ucson, AZ 85701	
11	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel	
12	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO	N
13	200 West Washington Street hoenix, Arizona 85007	
14	Steven M. Olea, Director	
15	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO	N
16	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

DECISION NO. **72713**

EXHIBIT A

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (the "Agreement") is entered into by and between Tyrone Henry ("Henry"), Raye Stiles ("Stiles") and Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP")(collectively, the "Parties").

Recitals.

- A. On or about September 13, 2011, Henry filed a Complaint against TEP with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC") in Docket No. E-01933A-11-0346 (the "Henry Docket").
- B. On or about September 15, 2011, Stiles filed a Complaint against TEP with the ACC in Docket No. E-01933A-11-0350 (the "Stiles Docket")(together with the Henry Docket, the "ACC Dockets").
- C. TEP denies the allegations asserted and denies any liability for any claims asserted in the ACC Dockets.
- D. On September 30, 2011, the Parties participated in a call with ACC Staff to discuss settlement of the ACC Dockets. As a result of that call, the Parties enter into this Agreement to fully resolve the disputes and claims contained within the docketed complaints as expressly provided herein.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

- 1. TEP agrees to forgo Henry's disputed debt in the amount of \$367.75 (from TEP account number 4305544122 for address 455 W. Kelso St., Apt. #121, Tucson, AZ 85705.)
- 2. TEP agrees to forgo Stiles' disputed debt in the amount of \$332.41 (from TEP account number 4234485731 for address 734 E. Roger Road, #201, Tucson, AZ 85709).
- 3. Henry and Stiles agree to make four (4) monthly installment payments in the amount of \$51.21 for the August billing statement and reconnection fee totaling \$204.85 beginning on the October 20, 2011 billing statement (for TEP account number 0315518716 for address 2018 N. Tucker Dr., Tucson, AZ 85716).
- 4. Henry and Stiles agree to make timely payments in full on future monthly billing statements.
- 5. Henry and Stiles acknowledge that if they fail to make the payments set forth in Paragraph 3 above or if they fail to make timely payments in full on all future monthly billings, they will be subject to disconnection.
- 6. Henry and Stiles agree to the dismissal with prejudice of the ACC Dockets. Upon execution of the Settlement Agreement, the Parties agree that TEP will file the Motions

Page One of Two Pld

Red

to Dismiss, attached hereto as Exhibit A, and that neither Stiles, nor Henry, will oppose said motions.

TYRONE HENRY

RAYE STILES

By Jan Tan

Date 04 251 2011

By Raye Stile

Date October 25, 2011

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

By Steven Mi Synv

my customy officer-07

Page Two of Two PGS

061